

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                       | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/621,144  | 07/16/2003      | John Tsai               | J1057/20001         | 1218             |  |
| 3000 7  | 7590 11/08/2004 |                         | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |
| CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN,<br>COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD. |                 |                         | WALCZAK, DAVID J    |                  |  |
| 11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER                         |                 |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| 1635 MARKET STREET                                    |                 |                         | 3751                |                  |  |
| PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2212                           |                 | DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004 |                     |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | 10/621,144  | TSAI ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   | David J. Walczak  | 3751  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days<br>ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to<br>cause the application to become ABANDONED | ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju  | <u>ly 2003</u> .  | •   |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This  | ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 3 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or e   | lection requirement.  |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa  | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-  | (d) or (f)  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents   | have been received.   |   |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents   |   | on No   |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori   | ty documents have been received   | d in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau   | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list o  | f the certified copies not received   | J.  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary (  | PTO-413)  |  |  |  |
| 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Dat  | e   |  |  |  |
| B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 5)  Notice of Informal Pa   | tent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Ι. Claims 1-24, drawn to car washing device, classified in class 401. subclass 188R.
- II. Claims 25-36, drawn to a brush with a flow control device, classified in class 401, subclass 281.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP & 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not require that the brush have a "body". The subcombination has separate utility such as a brush for dispensing fluid wherein the liquid is dispensed via a mechanism other than a vessel pressurized by a pump, such a flexible container which can be squeezed or a garden hose connected to a house water spigot.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3751

This application further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Speices I: Figures 2-5 and

Species II: Figure 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to further elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 13 and 25 are considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 3751

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 703-308-0608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg L. Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 11/4/04